

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/664,871 | Applicant(s) MACH, FRANCOIS |
| | Examiner San-ming Hui | Art Unit 1617 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

- (1) San-ming Hui. (3) _____.
 (2) Ms. Karnakis, representative of the applicant. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Karnakis informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the office action mailed October 20, 2004

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)